F. 2. CAVITE STATE UNIVERSITY

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Sustain human development thru poverty reduction and empowerment of the poor and vulnerable

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Relevant and Quality Tertiary Education Ensured to Achieve Inclusive Growth
- 2. Access of Deserving But Poor Students to Quality Tertiary Education Increased
- 3. Higher Education Research Improved to Promote Economic Productivity and Innovation
- 4. Community Engagement Increased

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

KEY STRATEGIES

Effective, efficient and transparent use of total financial resources (General Appropriations Act and Internally Generated Income)

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2017 TARGETS
Relevant and Quality Tertiary Education Ensured to Achieve Inclusive Growth		
Average percentage passing in licensure exam by the SUC graduates / national average percentage passing in board programs covered by the SUC	1.36 (61.34% / 45.21%)	1.35 (63% / 46.78%)
Percentage change in graduates tracked who are employed in jobs related to their undergraduate programs	15. 78% (247 / 1, 565)	20%
Percentage change in number of graduates in priority programs	2, 633	2, 985 (13. 37%)
Access of Deserving But Poor Students to Quality Tertiary Education Increased		
Percentage change in number of students in priority programs awarded financial aid	758	800 (5.54%)
Percentage change of students awarded financial aid who completed their degrees	94	100 (6.38%)
Higher Education Research Improved to Promote Economic Productivity and Innovation		
Number of R&D outputs patented / commercialized / used by the industry or by other beneficiaries		
a) Applied for patenting	a) 0	a) 10
b) Patented or Commercialized	b) 8	b) 5
c) Adopted by industry / small and medium enterprises / LGU / Community-based Organizations	c) 1	c) 5
Number of research and development outputs in the fields of agro-industrial technology published in CHED recognized referred journals	2	2
Percentage change in number of faculty engaged in research work		

applied in any of the following:

b. Publis research) c. Produc improveme community Eng Percentag industry, and other new techn Percentag transfer livelihoo MFO 1: H T P P P P P P P P P P P P P	ing technologies for commercialization or livelihood			017 Targets 4880 61% 177% 17%
research) c. Produc improveme Community Eng Percentag industry, and other new techn Percentag transfer livelihoo MFO 1: H T P A MFO 1: H T P P P P P P P P	<pre>e or cing technologies for commercialization or livelihood ent gagement Increased ge change in number of partnerships with LGUs, small and medium enterprises, and local entrepreneurs r national agency in developing, implementing or using nologies relevant to agro-industrial development ge change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology / extension programs and activities leading to od improvement DUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Cotal number of graduates Percentage of total graduates that are in priority course Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC Percentage of programs accredited at Level 1 Percentage of programs accredited at Level 2</pre>	c) 27% 11 4, 102	c) 31% 24 (118. 18%) 4, 922 (19. 99%) 20	4880 61% 177% 17%
improveme Community Eng Percentag industry, and other new techn Percentag transfer livelihoo (AJOR FINAL O MFO 1: H T P A P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	ent gagement Increased ge change in number of partnerships with LGUs, small and medium enterprises, and local entrepreneurs r national agency in developing, implementing or using hologies relevant to agro-industrial development ge change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology / extension programs and activities leading to od improvement DUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Fotal number of graduates Percentage of total graduates that are in priority course Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC Percentage of programs accredited at Level 1 Percentage of programs accredited at Level 2	11 4, 102	24 (118. 18%) 4, 922 (19. 99%) 2(4880 61% 177% 17%
Percentag industry, and other new techn Percentag transfer livelihoo MFO 1: H T P A MFO 1: H T P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	ge change in number of partnerships with LGUs, small and medium enterprises, and local entrepreneurs r national agency in developing, implementing or using hologies relevant to agro-industrial development ge change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology / extension programs and activities leading to od improvement DUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Fotal number of graduates Percentage of total graduates that are in priority course Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC Percentage of programs accredited at Level 1 Percentage of programs accredited at Level 2	4, 102	4, 922(19. 99%) 2(4880 61% 177% 17%
industry, and other new techn Percentag transfer livelihoo MFO 1: H T P A P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	<pre>small and medium enterprises, and local entrepreneurs r national agency in developing, implementing or using hologies relevant to agro-industrial development ge change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology / extension programs and activities leading to od improvement DUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Fotal number of graduates Percentage of total graduates that are in priority course Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC Percentage of programs accredited at Level 1 Percentage of programs accredited at Level 2</pre>	4, 102	4, 922(19. 99%) 2(4880 61% 177% 17%
transfer livelihoo MFO 1: H T P A P P P P P P P P P P MFO 2: A	<pre>/ extension programs and activities leading to od improvement DUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Fotal number of graduates Percentage of total graduates that are in priority course Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC Percentage of programs accredited at Level 1 Percentage of programs accredited at Level 2</pre>	28	20	4880 61% 177% 17%
MFO 1: H T P A P P P P P MFO 2: A	HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Fotal number of graduates Percentage of total graduates that are in priority course Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC Percentage of programs accredited at Level 1 Percentage of programs accredited at Level 2			4880 61% 177% 17%
T P A P P P P MFO 2: A	Total number of graduates Percentage of total graduates that are in priority course Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC Percentage of programs accredited at Level 1 Percentage of programs accredited at Level 2		ge percentage	61% 177% 17%
P A P P P P P MFO 2: A	Percentage of total graduates that are in priority course Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC Percentage of programs accredited at Level 1 Percentage of programs accredited at Level 2		ge percentage	61% 177% 17%
Т	Percentage of programs accredited at Level 4 Percentage of graduates who finished academic program acc ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES	cording to the prescribed	timeframe	23% 9% 1% 74%
Р	Fotal number of gradustes Percentage of graduates engaged in employment within 6 mc	onths of graduation		40 50%
	Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education of	-	ood or better	83%
MFO 3: R	RESEARCH SERVICES			
N	No. of research studies completed			25
	Percentage of research projects completed in last 3 years Percentage of research outputs published in a recognized		patenting or	67%
	patented Percentage of research projects completed within the orig	-		100% 88%
	rechnical advisory extension services			
N N P	No. of persons trained weighted by the length of training No. of persons provided with technical advice	g ood or better good or better		1942 577 85% 85% 85%